

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		ente est		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,664	08/29/2003	R. Magdina		7782
7590 03/19/2007 Ely Zborovsky Patent Bureau 6 Schoolhouse Way			EXAMINER	
			SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE	
Dix Hills, NY 11746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/650,664 MAGDINA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kriellion A. Sanders	1714
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•	
2a)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/2/ This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-16 and 24-31 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 and 24-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Application/Control Number: 10/650,664

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 and 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wainwright et al, US Patent No. 5,532,292. Wainwright et al discloses coating compositions such as paints that comprise a binder, colorant, melamine, ammonium phosphate, pentaerythritol, blowing agent and charring agent. Fibrous fillers and additives may also be used. When the dry mixture of components are formulated into a wet coating composition, the amounts of components overlap with those amounts claimed by applicant. See cols 4 and 5. The act of providing a surface with a paint formulation generally perceived as painting. This process step is considered to be anticipated and/or obvious in view of the patented disclosure. The presently claimed invention presents nothing of a novel nature. See the abstract, col. 1, lines 31-60, col. 3, lines 29-34, col. 4, lines 21 through 59 and col. 5, lines 8-56.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,664

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant argues that the invention of Wainwright is not from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. This argument is not persuasive because Wainwright indicates that the intumescent compositions of the patented invention may be incorporated into paints. See lines 6-9 of the abstract. Since the components of the intumescent composition of Wainwright et al are essentially the same as applicant's, the present claims are considered to be met by Wainwright et al or at least rendered obvious there over.

4. Applicant's argument that Wainwright et al has nothing to do with strengths and weaknesses of previous paints is not understood, since this argument is based upon features that are not included in the present claims. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., advantageous improvement in strength of present paints over prior art paints) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant's argument that Wainwright does not disclose any information about the problems with the paints that took place before the present invention does not negate the fact that the components of the presently claimed invention are clearly included within the patented invention. Therefore the present invention directed to paints, a method of formulating paints and a method of painting is obvious if not fully anticipated by Wainwright. Applicant is advised that the process steps of "providing" the components and "painting" the surface of a substrate are obvious process steps to the ordinary practitioner in the painting field. These steps are self - explanatory.

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant's limitations as set forth in claims 5, 13 and 28 are met by Wainwright et al at col. 1, lines 50-61 wherein the amount of film-forming binder, solvent and pigment are suggested to be adjusted within the prescribed limits so as to result in 15% or less by weight of intumescent or flame retardant composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-16 and 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wainwright et al., US Patent No. 5,532,292 in view of Pirig et al, US Patent No. 6,251,961.

Applicant's limitations as set forth in claims 7, 15 and 29 and 30 are obvious over

Wainwright et al in view of Pirig et al. Pirig et al equates melamine and ammonium

polyphosphate. Pirig et al discloses that it is known that melamine and ammonium

polyphosphate react in aqueous solution releasing ammonia (NH₃). At increased temperature and increased humidity this reaction can also take place in a dried flame-retardant coating which forms an insulating layer, thus reducing the flame-retardant properties of the coating. See the paragraph bridging cols.1 and 2 of Pirig et al. Also see Wainwright et al at col. 1, lines 50-61 wherein the specific amounts of melamine and pentaerythritol are suggested to be adjusted within the prescribed limits so as to result in weight percentages of the total paint composition that overlap with those of applicant's claims. Therefore, incorporation of melamine, melamine polyphosphate and pentaerythritol at the weight percentages prescribed by Wainwright et al would have been obvious to the ordinary practitioner of this art at the time of applicant's invention. Applicant has shown nothing of an unobvious nature by using such weight percentages for these specific components.

Art Unit: 1714

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714